

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR       | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/821,493  | 04/09/2004  | Donald Edward Williams SR. | OSU 0018 PA/41096.37 1428 |                  |
| 23368 7590 06/08/2007 EXAMINER  |             |                            |                           |                  |
| ONE DAYTON CENTRE, ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET<br>SUITE 1300<br>DAYTON, OH 45402-2023 |             |                            | MEISLIN, DEBRA S          |                  |
|   |             |                            | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Ditt tott, of   | 15102 2025  |                            | 3723                      |                  |
|   |             |                            |                           |                  |
|   |             |                            | MAIL DATE                 | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                            | 06/08/2007                | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.                 | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/821,493 WILLIAMS, DONALD EDV |              |  |  |
| Examiner                        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| D S. Meislin                    | 3723         |  |  |

|   | D S. Meislin   | 3723   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:   | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>   | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI<br>'06.07(f).                    | g date of the final rejecti<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS F                       | on.<br>ILED WITHIN                         |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ctension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da                           | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi                      | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th  |  |
| 3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered b  | ecause                                     |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>  | onsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);   | TE below);   |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   |  | ected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.  |  |  | (770) 004)                                 |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |  | impliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>   |  | timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the                          |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 35,37,38 and 40-47.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | II be entered and an e   | explanation of                             |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                           | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | •  | •  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa   | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |  |  |
|   |  | /D. S. Meislin/<br>Primary Examiner                                      |  |

Art Unit: 3723

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 48-49 constitute new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. Applicant has attempted to claim what was indictated to be allowable subject matter. However, such indication was given two years earlier in an office action mailed 7/6/05. The claims were subsequently cancelled and not further considered/examined through the office actions that followed including an RCE. Additionally, claim 49 does not include all of the limitations set forth in claim 27. In light of the need for a more thorough search due to the time that has passed, the incomplete combination of claims, and the change in patentability standards that has evolved since the indication of allowable subject matter, claims 48-49 will not be entered.